

From: Michael <michael@theyfly.com>
Date: March 28, 2004 9:02:00 AM PST
To: "John", randi@randi.org, James Underdown <jim@cfiwest.org>, derek@iigwest.com, SKEPTICMAG@aol.com, plejarans_are_real@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: So,

Well, John, the simple answer is that when a person accuses another person of something they are required to (hold on, here it is)...PROVE IT. That's not too difficult, is it?

In certain circumstances, such as the one involving Randi and his little friends, even though I easily prove that they're bungling, inept clowns, had I not done so it may not have mattered because every time they put finger to keypad THEY prove it to the whole world. I hope this is clearer to you now. But I'll repeat the key point, Because Randi et al accused Meier of a hoax THEY have to PROVE it. (On the off chance that this isn't actually clear to you please have someone else explain so that you don't start to embarrass yourself with questions so foolish that you make yourself look like a shill for Randi, heaven forbid.)

On to your next stunning point. John, they ARE being shown, more correctly the entire professional analysis of them is being shown every time someone watches the video "BEAMSHIP - The METAL" (C) 1987 INTERCEP Phoenix, AZ.) (Excerpt also on my new DVD).

Now, before you get too excited and give me another illogical question, let me ask YOU one? How do you know that an A-bomb was dropped on Hiroshima/Nagasaki? Before you answer, let me also tell you that no one (hopefully) is going to prove it to you by dropping it again...so don't ask. You'll have to go on what Mr. Amazing himself said regarding examining the best evidence available. Actually, you'll also have to tell me why you believe that ANYTHING happened that you didn't personally witness (unreliable as eyewitness accounts are anywhere).

Now at last you have a good question! "What objective group or company did the testing?" The testing was done by Marcel Vogel of **IBM**. (You have heard of **IBM**, haven't you?) Now, unless YOU and your friends can PROVE that there was procedural or professional incompetence with THAT analysis I suggest you move on - back - to the original and still unfulfilled challenge **ACCEPTED** by CFI West: Duplicate one of Meier's photos and one of his films.

You see, John, despite all you skeptics suffering from some form of Attention Deficit Disorder, I and a few million other people haven't forgotten about that. And we're VERY suspect that people like yourselves, who want to jump around and start NEW challenges, keep demonstrating their inability to complete the original

one. Like, uh, you're having some problem here with following procedures. The entire original investigative team didn't.

John, the kind of unfocused excitability that you demonstrate doesn't bode well for establishing your own credibility, whatever you may think it is. So, in order for you to be taken seriously and not considered just another wild-eyed kook, I'd like a couple of things from YOU.

Let's start with, in addition to your own credentials, an endorsement by YOU that the skeptics follow the slow, tedious, boring, step-by-step procedures required to fulfill the challenge they accepted. To help you out here I'll once again direct you to the free article on my website that has the photo analysis report in it. Now you tell those naughty little guys to submit their photos for testing in accordance with the protocols followed for testing Meier's, okay, Johnny? Simple, isn't it?

When the results of THAT test are known and published we'll move on to the next question (which may be to whom does Randi and CFI's checks get made out to). Of course, you can test physical evidence right now yourself. Just download the sounds from my site, see the report on that testing and go for it.

Oh yeah, here's a little bit on the photo tests for you:

Regarding how the scientific process works, examination of the evidence in the Meier case was done by renowned scientific experts from:

- IBM
- JPL
- USGS
- NASA
- Nippon TV
- Village Labs
- INTERREPO
- Micor Electric
- SCHORI REPROS
- McDonnell Douglas
- Excalibur Sound Studios
- Photo Color Studio of Zurich
- Naval Undersea Sound Center
- Director of Special Effects, Canadian Film Board
- Design Technology (holds contracts with NASA, Jet Propulsion Laboratory and the U. S. Navy, also do subcontracted work for General Dynamics Engineering)

Some of the equipment used in the analysis included:

Hamamatsu Systems
De Anza Systems
COMTAL
HELL Chromograph DC 300
Zeiss Microscope

YOOL Laser System
Simmons Gamma/Alpha Emission Tube
Grinnel Computer Graphics Terminal GMR-37
Tektronix Computer System 4081=peripherals
Fairchild CCD-2 Digital Camera
Singer zx-2 Digital Camera
CMX-700 & 340; Computer Video Graphics

John, please give me a competent, detailed explanation of what is not sufficient about the above...after you've consulted with the other skeptics, of course.

AND DON'T WRITE BACK UNTIL ALL OF MY REQUESTS ARE FULFILLED AND ANSWERED.

Thanks,

Michael Horn
Authorized American Media Representative
The Billy Meier Contacts
www.theyfly.com

Why does Mr Randi have to prove that Mr. Meier is a hoax, when the burden of proof is on Mr Meier to prove what he says is true? Why aren't the "otherwordly" Metal samples being shown, or used as an example of Mr Meier's claims?

Who do I contact that can show proof that the metals are not from this planet? What objective group or company did the testing? Why aren't these plain and simple questions covered in FAQ type page, where all the proof and answers are laid out?

Isn't the burden of proof lie with the people that are claiming the existance of these UFOs and the metal samples?

Where do we get answers?

John [last name removed]